

The Indian EXPRESS

FOUNDED BY

RAMNATH GOENKA

BECAUSE THE TRUTH INVOLVES US ALL

No, GOVERNOR

In Goa and Manipur, after fractured verdicts, Raj Bhawans invite charges of partisanship

IN GOA, GOVERNOR Mridula Sinha ignored the established principle of inviting the single largest party in the wake of a fractured mandate, and appointed BJP leader Manohar Parrikar as chief minister on Sunday. The BJP has 13 MLAs in a House of 40, four less than the Congress. A similar situation has developed in Manipur, where Governor Najma Heptulla invited the BJP, which won fewer seats than the Congress, to form the government. The Congress should have been invited first. The BJP's claim should have been considered only if the Congress pleaded inability or failed the floor test. Both gubernatorial decisions, in Goa and in Manipur, reek of partisanship.

Sinha's invitation to the BJP ostensibly rests on that party hastily submitting letters of support from smaller parties — the MGP and the Goa Forward Party with three MLAs each — and three independents to claim a majority in the House. The premise of her decision is questionable: These parties did not fight the election as part of a coalition. In fact, Goa Forward Party ran an anti-BJP campaign. The BJP, of course, was quick to win over the smaller parties and approach the governor's office. But surely this is not about running the race to the Raj Bhawan faster — speed cannot be the overriding or pressing consideration for the governor while assessing a party's claim to form government. There have been occasions in the past where a party with the support of the largest number of legislators has been preferred over the single largest party in the assembly in government formation. But it is also true that those decisions — the Congress and its allies have been beneficiaries — were disputed on grounds of procedure and propriety. The Justice M.M. Punchhi Commission on Centre-State Relations in 2010 laid down some guidelines to be followed in the appointment of a chief minister by a governor. It said the governor should invite the leader of "a pre-poll alliance commanding the largest number" or the "largest single party" to form the government in case no party or pre-poll coalition has a clear majority. The Sarkaria Commission, which studied Centre-state relations in the 1980s, held a similar view. The CM thus appointed must prove majority as per the guidelines laid down in the Bommai judgment — on the floor of the assembly.

Ruling on a petition filed by the Congress, the Supreme Court asked the BJP government in Goa to prove its majority within 48 hours, instead of the 15 days' leeway given by Governor Sinha. But it was a half-measure. The court's reluctance to uphold the principle of inviting the single largest party first and therefore, to stay Parrikar's swearing-in on Tuesday, is controversial.

ROOM FOR REFORM

Mandate from UP adds to political capital of government at the Centre. It should use it wisely

THE UTTAR PRADESH verdict has affirmed the large mandate that Prime Minister Narendra Modi won in 2014 at the Centre. But this affirmation comes with enormous expectations, particularly of the youth — for a revival and quickening of growth, increase of investment and job creation. At nearly the end of the third year of his government, Modi's report card on the economy does not inspire confidence. The private sector continues to be saddled with excess capacity, and is unlikely to consider fresh investments. The government cannot over-spend, given its responsibility towards the fisc. Huge debt on the books of India Inc and large non-performing assets in the balance sheets of state-owned banks have jammed the wheels of the economy — finding a way forward means making bold political calls.

Certain issues that required the government's urgent attention continue to be neglected. Much remains mired in bureaucracy even after the prime minister's promises. For instance, the strategic sale of public sector undertakings, which Modi reintroduced in the reform lexicon by getting the Union cabinet to approve its broad modalities in October last, is yet to move ahead substantively. The bad loan problem has its origins in the UPA's term when the private sector added huge capacities, built large infrastructure projects and borrowed a lot, hoping the economy would continue to grow rapidly. But then a global downturn and policy inertia back home during the last couple of years of the UPA government led to a collapse of private sector confidence. Modi acknowledged the gravity of the bad loan problem early on during the 2014 Lok Sabha campaign, but his economic managers are yet to address it nearly three years later.

The triumph in state elections once again provides the prime minister an opportunity to push bold plans to further his development agenda. It will take not just innovative ideas of good governance, but also their implementation, to lift Uttar Pradesh, which has a budget size of almost a fifth of the Union budget, and which is home to 16 per cent of the country's population, out of its morass. Over the next couple of months, the Goods and Services Tax regime will finally be in place; and labour laws will need to be simplified. The opposition to some tough political economy measures may not be so intense, given the scale of the BJP's win in UP. Hopefully, the government sees the vote as a sign of urgency in people's expectations of meaningful change.

LOOK WHO'S TALKING

President Erdogan describing Holland as 'Nazi' draws attention to new, and old, political spectres

A MID NEW DEVELOPMENTS in Europe — Brexit, migration, terrorism, a far-right on an upswing — an old spectre has raised its head. Turkey's President Recep Erdogan has accused the Dutch of "acting like Nazis" for not allowing Erdogan's ministers to campaign in the Netherlands, amongst the 4,00,000-strong Turkish migrant community, towards an April referendum that seeks to extend Erdogan's powers. If successful, it is feared Erdogan will take Turkey from parliamentary democracy to a presidential system. Turkey has already experienced alleged purges in its civil service, judiciary, army, etc., following 2016's attempted coup; over 150 journalists have been arrested, alongside opposition leaders and activists. April's referendum is divisive enough for a 10 per cent swing voter group to be considered vital; hence, Erdogan's attempts to reach migrants spread across Europe. But unease over the Turkish referendum has spurred European states to refuse permission for rallies. This led the Turkish strongman to also accuse Germany of fascism: "I thought Nazism was over," Erdogan reportedly stated. "But I was wrong. Nazism is alive in the West."

In calmer times, this could have been dismissed as a hissy-fit. However, the episode has gone beyond flinging charges; Turkey has expelled the Dutch ambassador, threatened sanctions against the Netherlands, even hinted at undoing the EU deal that funds Turkey to stem migrants into Europe. Critics claim this deal has given Erdogan astonishing liberty, with European nations turning a strategic blind eye to tumult in Turkey. The current dispute only underlines the need for Europe to observe Ankara far more critically.

Alongside, the flippant use of terms like Nazism and fascism by Erdogan highlights several ironies, including, of course, who's talking here. But Europe itself, frequently confounded by its challenges, must resist the temptation of slipping into a lexicon of the past. There are elements of brute hegemony in today's geopolitics; but given the enormous differences between then and now — the internet being one aspect — using "fascism" as a descriptor doesn't take understanding to a new level. It freezes thought in futile furies.



SANJAYA BARU

SOMUCH OF the instant analysis of the Uttar Pradesh assembly election results echoes the bewildered commentary that followed Narendra Modi's historic victory in the Lok Sabha elections of May 2014. Familiar arguments, such as the communal polarisation of the electorate, getting caste combinations right, the resort to "populism", even the "stupidity" of the Congress party's inept leadership, are being trotted out as explanations for the persistence of a trend.

None of these was an unknown factor in the run-up to the elections. The Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) has always unabashedly appealed to the "Hindu" vote, at least partly in the hope of neutralising the "caste" factor, regional parties have always been about caste and ethnic loyalties, every successful politician has been a "populist" at the hustings, even if a "reformer" in office, and the Congress has had a crisis of leadership for at least five years. Serious analysts must come forward with a better explanation for what is clearly a new trend in Indian politics — the secular decline of the Indian National Congress as a national party of government, and the rise of the Bharatiya Janata Party as a mainstream political party, with a vote base across the country.

But for any such serious analysis, political science requires new data and new tools. As in economics, so in political science, we see the persistence of old theories seeking to explain new realities. The discipline of economics at least got used to the idea of a paradigm shift from time to time: When facts changed, as John Maynard Keynes famously put it, theory caught up. The Keynesian revolution was one such paradigm shift. Then, after the implosion of the Soviet Union and the Chinese Communist Party's embrace of market economics, even if with Chinese characteristics, the statist paradigm in economics went out of fashion. More recently, following China's rise, the trans-Atlantic financial crisis and the slowing down of Europe, the so-called Washington Consensus and the "neo-liberal" economics it was built on have met their comeuppance.

Misreading the new

The rise of BJP, the decline of Congress, demand fresh ways of seeing

The Congress faces three very different challenges. First, it has no national leadership of any standing left: Sonia Gandhi's appeal has faded. Rahul Gandhi has been demonetised. Second, its regional leaders are a dispirited lot. Third, the Congress has not been able to craft a new political platform even as Narendra Modi cherry-picks every good Congress idea and replays it as his own. It is not the "timidity and stupidity" of the Congress leadership, as some analysts seem to believe, responsible for the party's decline — this will continue till it re-invents itself under a new leadership. That is what Modi did for his party in 2013.

Yet, the ideologically conditioned economists of the left and right persist with their old ideas, while the more pragmatic ones, with their ear to the ground, have been able to walk a new path. Economics, as a discipline, has been in disrepute over the past decade — this has challenged practical economists to come up with new ideas. However, we do not as yet see signs of a similar soul-searching among political scientists studying India. Most of them remain prisoners either of an ideology or of statistical surveys.

While ideological blinkers blind one to change that one does not wish to see, statistics and opinion surveys too have their limitations in a complex polity like India. If ideology ends up convincing someone that a tree is a pillar, statistics can end up making one miss the woods for the trees. Not surprisingly, therefore, contemporary political analysis continues to use theoretical tools from inter-war Europe or statistical tools from post-war American political science to explain the rise of the BJP and the popularity of Narendra Modi. Given this crisis in political science, journalists have become political pundits — and political pundits have become journalists.

The time has come to seriously understand the roots of the decline of the Congress and the rise of the BJP. Neither is a cyclical or transient phenomenon. A senior Congress spokesperson said on TV that what goes up must come down and in politics, what goes down can come up; so, why worry. The next time round, the Congress will win and the BJP will lose.

It is a comforting thought for those in the Congress who sit in the Rajya Sabha and live in Lutyens Delhi: It is, however, not what the average Congress worker in Siddipet or Silchar, Sholapur or Sonapat thinks.

The Congress faces three very different, but inter-related challenges. First, it has no national leadership of any standing left: Sonia Gandhi's appeal has faded and Rahul Gandhi has been demonetised. Second, the party's regional leaders and cadre in many states, including traditional bastions like Andhra

Pradesh, Maharashtra and Telengana, are a dispirited lot. Third, the Congress has not been able to craft a new political platform even as Modi cherry-picks every good idea of the Congress and replays it as his own. In short, it is not the "timidity and stupidity" of the Congress leadership, as some analysts seem to believe, that is responsible for the party's decline — the decline has been a long time in the coming and will continue till the party is able to re-invent itself under a completely new leadership. That is what Modi did for his party in 2013.

Finally, the BJP's rise too is not just because Modi is Indira Gandhi Mark II, implementing the UPA's manifesto and successfully communalising a large majority of Hindus. The BJP has come this far based on the strength of its cadre, its regional leaders and its appeal to a "new India". On top of this, Modi has been able to project himself as both a strong nationalist and a pro-poor political leader. It is also clear that the BJP has a long-term policy perspective on all fronts, including economic, foreign and social policy that it hopes will give it the same political longevity the Congress enjoyed after Independence.

Taken together, these very different trajectories of the two national parties constitute a paradigm shift in India's political economy and a power shift in politics. Given India's diversity, there will always be space for other political parties in one part of the Subcontinent or another. Even the Congress had to yield space to other parties after the first general elections. The Communist Party of India defeated Nehru's Congress in Kerala in 1957. By 1962, other parties unseated the Congress and a large number of powerful regional political leaders emerged. Yet, the Congress remained the dominant player.

Much the same could happen over the next decade, with several parties retaining regional relevance, even as the BJP emerges as the dominant national player.

Baru is author of *The Accidental Prime Minister: The Making and Unmaking of Manmohan Singh*



RADHA KAPOOR-SHARMA

WITH THE FIRST round of the French presidential polls scheduled for April 23, it is election season in France. So far, this election is like no other before it, with a systematically strong showing by Marine Le Pen of the National Front in all polls. A far-right president is now a distinct possibility.

This particular election, with its cast of unlikely characters, its doses of scandal, police raids and judicial investigations, and a narrative that includes calumny, betrayal and suspense, resembles a political drama rather than the straightforward exercise of universal suffrage. It certainly has the French riveted. In a first for a leader of the Fifth Republic, outgoing President François Hollande decided to abstain from seeking re-election. A lucid decision, as he faced the very real prospect of losing his party's nomination, given his dismal approval ratings and the public's disenchantment.

By all accounts, the election was supposed to be a cakewalk for the main opposition — the centrist right party, Les Républicains. François Fillon, former prime minister and party nominee, dubbed "Mr Clean", was a sure-shot winner, leading in all polls and in a strong position to stop the National Front in its tracks. Fillon won his party's primary hands-down on a platform of tough measures destined to revive the economy, such as slashing France's bloated bureaucracy and ending the much criticised 35-hour week. His surprise victory stemmed, in part, from his projection of himself as a man of "probity".

Except, his presidential dream seems to have crashed with the disclosure by a French

POLL DANCE IN PARIS

In France, the possibility of a far right president makes the drama more riveting

newspaper that he paid his wife hefty sums from public funds to act as his parliamentary assistant — a job that she allegedly did not do. A judicial probe was ordered astonishingly quickly and is currently underway. His approval ratings plummeted after this "fake" job scandal, named "Penelope Gate" after his wife.

However, Fillon has fought back tooth and nail against what he terms an "institutional coup d'état" and a "political assassination". His refusal to step down has forced his party members to rally round him. His chances of making it to the second round appear slim with the threat of prosecution still looming, but digging for dirt continues unabated, with new scandals involving interest-free loans and expensive custom-made suits surfacing. This suggests his candidature is still not to be taken lightly.

The main beneficiary of Fillon's fall from favour is not the Socialist nominee — the disastrous results of Hollande's first term cast a long shadow over the chances of his party's candidate, Benoît Hamon, surprise winner of the socialist primary with some highly populist campaign promises like universal income for all and a 32-hour week. Normally, a shorter working week would have rallied the leisure-loving French, but the Socialist candidate is trailing in the polls.

The actual beneficiary is, in fact, the 39-year-old former investment banker, Emmanuel Macron, who left the Socialist government to create a party, "En Marche" (On the Move), and is running on a pro-business, socially liberal platform. He claims

to represent neither left, nor right, but France. He has the backing of the financial oligarchy and has caught the imagination of French voters, disillusioned with both the main political parties. Though he has never held elected office, he has recently emerged as the front-runner in this election. Many socialist heavyweights, unable to identify with Hamon's extreme positions, or acting in pure self-interest, are likely to throw their weight behind Macron. This would lead to the dismemberment of the Socialist Party.

In the meantime, Marine Le Pen of the far-right National Front, running on an anti-immigration, anti-Islam, anti-Europe ticket, continues to make electoral inroads with all polls showing her making it to the second round, though eventually losing to Macron. Le Pen is also embroiled in a financial scandal involving the alleged misuse of EU funds, supposed to be supported on social media by Russia-generated bots, but somehow, she is much less under media scrutiny than Fillon. The general feeling seems to be that though Le Pen is dangerous, she can be stopped by the left and right uniting against her.

However, the threat of Le Pen winning needs to be taken seriously — pollsters can be off the mark as they were with Trump and Brexit, especially as National Front voters tend to conceal their voting intentions. Moreover, new scandals involving the other candidates could swing the election in Le Pen's favour, as could suburban social unrest — or a terrorist attack.

Kapoor-Sharma is a Paris-based writer



MARCH 15, 1977, FORTY YEARS AGO

THE GEORGE CAMPAIGN HUNDREDS OF FOLLOWERS of Socialist leader George Fernandes from various states, including trade unionists from Bombay, students and Lohiaite teachers from Delhi University and youthful supporters from elsewhere, have landed in Muzaffarpur, Bihar, to carry out a spirited campaign for George in his absence. Students of the Bihar University daily wheel around a tableau depicting a Tihar jail cell with a cardboard figure of George Fernandes in handcuffs behind iron bars. The handcuffs and prison bars also form the dominant theme of the Janata Party posters in this constituency. Alice Fernandes, mother of George Fernandes, is

also here to campaign for her son. Her other son, Lawrence Fernandes, now out of jail, also arrived to lend a helping hand in electioneering for his brother, George, who, in absentia, is facing Congress and CPI nominees.

BAIL DENIED ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE O. N. Vohra dismissed the bail application filed by George Fernandes, facing charges of conspiracy in the Baroda dynamite case.

JP'S GANDHIAN INDIA JAYAPRAKASH NARAYAN CONGRATULATED the people for having dispelled in just two months the fear that had gripped the coun-

try and exhorted them to vote for the Janata and "make India free". "My vision is of a free, progressive and Gandhian India" and "the Janata Party is pledged to this vision," he said. He assured the people that "once the elections are over, I will myself launch a crusade to set up a people's committee at every level for ensuring that the pledges are honoured."

INDIRA ON EMERGENCY INDIRA GANDHI SAID people who seemed to think the "Emergency will be restored" after the election were not aware that "Emergency is not something that can be enforced frequently". She said, during the Emergency, some excesses had been committed.

